

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/029,498 | 12/19/2001 | Benny Borsakian | BFBIR | 4596 |
| 7: | 590 06/18/2003 | | | |
| Norton R. Townsley | | | EXAMINER | |
| 100 Corporate Pointe Suite 330 | | | WELLS, LAUREN Q | |
| Culver City, CA 90230 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1617 | \sim |
| | | > | DATE MAILED: 06/18/2003 | <i>'</i> 5 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | 10/029,498 | BORSAKIAN ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| • | Lauren Q Wells | 1617 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed | d on | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINAL . | b) This action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the ar | oplication. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction | 8) Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a | ı)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by t | the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Page | O-948) 5) Notice of | Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) | Office Action Summary | Part of Paper No. 3 | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/029,498

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-22 are pending.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 401.
- II. Claims 13-22, drawn to a method of making a nail polish, classified in class 424,subclass 61.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process as claimed can be used to make any kind of paint, such as wall paint or automobile paint.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1-22 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising a color changing nail polish. In particular, the composition comprises a film forming chemical, a plasticizer, a solvent, a colorant, a temperature sensitive colorant, a UV absorber, an additive, a

Application/Control Number: 10/029,498

Art Unit: 1617

viscosity adjuster, a UV photochromic powder. Possible film forming chemicals include nitrocellulose, hydroxyethyl acrylate, butyl acrylate, and n-methoxyethyl acrylate. Possible plasticizers include sucrose acetate isobutyrate, dibutyl phthalate, DL-camphor, acrylic resin, and petroleum resin. Possible solvents include ethyl acetate, butyl acetate, and isopropanol. Possible colorants include yellow no. 4, titanium dioxide, guanine, bismuth oxychloride, mica, aluminum powder, iron blue, black oxide of iron, red #6, red #7, and red oxide of iron. Possible temperature sensitive colorants include rose, green, brown, fast black, fast blue, gold orange, magenta, yellow, pink, turquoise blue, and vermillion. Possible UV absorbers include benzophenone. Possible additives include citric acid. Possible viscosity adjusters include benzyldimethylsterylarmonirn hectorite. Possible UV photochromic powders include red, purple, yellow, and blue. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Note: Applicant is respectfully requested to elect a single species of a film forming chemical, a plasticizer, a solvent, a colorant, a temperature sensitive colorant, a UV absorber, an additive, a viscosity adjuster, a UV photochromic powder, within the elected group above, for examination purposes only.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1617

A telephone call was made to Norton Townsley on 5/9/03 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-1878. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7-5:30), with alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on (703)305-1877. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

lqw

June 2, 2003

REENI PADMANABHAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER